1923

Department of Public works Irrign

OFFICE of the Secretary to Gov

STATION Madras

Miscellaneous

261

G.O. **No.** 1547

Dated 19 - 9 - 23

Press
2 Chamber
Maps.

To whom sent	Date	Initial.
	Given for copying	
	Copied	
	Examined	
	Signed	
	Given for despatch	
	Despatched	
	Indexed	
Pages in the file	To be destroyed on	

(SUBJECT.)

Rov 8/9.1.24

Not to be filled in in the case of permanent records.

SECTION.

Public Works **DEPARTMENT.**

CURRENT NUMBER 302

CURRENT No.

Received ..... 19 . *Registered* 19

Boards Report received & sent to L.Govt 1-5-23 for remarks or

Subject.

Ferries - South Kanara - Coondapur Taluk - Gangolly ferry - Motor boat service - Introduction - Objection petition.

ENCLOSURES.
No. .....
.....
Spare copies .....

Reference **No.** C.636-23-1

Station Madras, *Dated the* 21st February 1923.

From
S.Wadsworth Esquire, I.C.S.,
Secretary,
~~The~~ Board of Revenue, ~~Revenue Settlement, etc.~~ Land Revenue and Separate Revenue.
M.E.Couchman Esquire, I.C.S., Commissioner of Land Revenue.

Resolution - Reference.

Submitted to Government.

2. The Collector's report on the petitions already presented to him by the residents of Coondapur Taluk, will be submitted to Government on receipt.

3. x x x

B.9.
The Collectors report on the petitions with Boards remarks may be awaited - Say for 3 months.

For Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department (Irrigation) with a petition in original (paras. 1

To the Collector of South Kanara (para. 3)

M.P. 22/2 Rules 23223

27.2.23 PW

27/2/23

23.2.23

Ho C 889 17/2/23. 2

To

The Secretary to the Government

of Madras,

Public Works Department,

Fort St. George, Madras.

Thro'

The Commissioner of Land Revenue,
Settlement, & Survey, Board of Revenue,

M a d r a s.

Humble petition of the underigned residents of the Coondapoor Taluk, South Kanara District, dated the 12th February 1923.

Respectfully sheweth as follows:-

With reference to the resolution of the South Kanara District Board, dated 30-9-1922 leasing out the Gangolly ferry to one Nayampalli Panduranga Rao for a period of 5 years from the 1st of April 1923 on an annual rental of Rs.1800 on condition of keeping a motor boat and raising the fees to be levied at the ferry to double the present rates, the petitioners beg to submit the following for kind consideration of the Government and favourable orders.

2. The Gangolly river which is more than a mile wide is on the Coondapoor side very shallow and it dries up during low tide. The motor boat cannot therefore go to Coondapoor during low tide. This can be verified by a reference to the Sub-Collector of Coondapoor who has his bungalow on the river-side very close to the ferry.

3. The people of this taluk being generally poorer than their brothren of other taluks have already begun to grumble at the doubling of the fees to be levied at this ferry when the motor boat to be introduced is practically

4 16

wanted.

(8) This ferry if sold in public auction is sure to fetch a very high rental in the current and future years with the ordinary fees.

In conclusion the petitioners strongly object to 1. the doubling of the present fees, 2. introduction of the Motor Boat, 3. the Board incurring a big loss in the recurring income for the sake of helping a capitalist at the expense of the poor travellers and humbly request the Government to refuse to double the fees and to direct the District Board to cancel its resolution referred to above.

For which act of kindness and charity the petitioners ever remain grateful.

(1)
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7)
(8)
(7)
(10)
(11)
(12)
(13)
(14)
(15) the ... of ... de Silva ... of ... de Silva
(16)
(17)
(18)
(19)
(20)

(20) Sk. Shaik Ismail alais Sabjour Sahib -

(23) B. Mukundapadhya Ganguli

(24) P. Krishnamalladhyla

(25)

(26)

(27)

(28) K. Narasimh Nayak

(29)

(30) D. K. Shriniwas. -

(31)

(32)

(33)

(34)

(35)

(36)

(37)

(38)

(39)

(40) Bailur Ramanath Nayak.

(41)

(42)

(43)

(44)

(45)

(46)

(47) B. Gigoonias

(48) Nagar Vitha Laxuman Naik

(49) M. Subbamma Shetty

(50)

(51)

(52)

(53)

(54)

(55)

(56)

(57)

4-4-21

PUBLIC WORKS (IRRIGATION) **DEPARTMENT.**

CURRENT No.

Received _____ 19 . *Registered* _____ 19

Subject

F e r r i e s (South Kanara) - Coondapur Taluk - Gangolly ferry - Motor boat service.

***

ENCLOSURES.
No.
Spare copies

Refce. **No.** C.636/23-2

Station M a d r a s. , *Dated the* 23rd A p r i l 1923.

From S.Wadsworth Esquire., I.C.S.,
Secretary,
~~The~~ Board of Revenue, ~~Revenue~~ Settlement, ~~etc.~~
~~Separate Revenue.~~ Land Revenue and
M.T.Couchman Esquire., I.C.S.,
Commissioner of Land Revenue.

~~Resolution~~
Reference. *****

Read the following:-

Letter from M.R.Ry. Rao Bahadur N.Subba Rau Avl., President, District Board, South Kanara, Ref. on C. No.2753/22/D.B. dated the 31st October 1922.

The Gangolly ferry in Coondapoor Taluk is a first class ferry and is the second largest ferry in the District. At present the said ferry is crossed in boats which is attended with much inconvenience and risk to the public besides loss of time. It is proposed to minimise the inconvenience by introducing a motor boat at

the ferry and one M.R.Ry. N.Pandurange Rau Surgeon Oculist, Mangalore, has offered to take the initiative in the above direction on two conditions, Viz (1) that he is permitted to levy a toll of anna one from each passenger and (2) that he is given the lease of the ferry for a period of not less than seven years - Vide his application dated 2nd September 1922 (copy enclosed). The District ~~Boat~~ Board has accepted the offer with the modification that the term of the lease is reduced to five years - vide District Board's resolution No.59 dated 30th September 1922 (copy enclosed). It will be seen from the marginally noted figures that the annual amount of the lease fixed by the District Board Viz., Rs. 1800 is slightly in excess of the average rent of the ferry for the last five years. I request that the Board may be pleased to obtain the sanction of Government to the proposed enhancement of ferry toll from six pies to one anna.

Year	Amount of rent
1917-18	Rs. 1800
1918-19	" 1800
1919-20	" 2050
1920-21	" 1155
1921-22	" 1975
Total for five years.	Rs. 8780
Average for one year.	" 1756

2. A draft notification (in duplicate) is submitted for favour of approval and publication in the Fort Saint Geroge Gazette.

*****

Endorsement of the Collector of South Kanara, N.Dis.No.6949-22-T-1, dated 10-11-1922.

Board's Reference thereon No.C.4584-22-1, dated 20-11-1922.

The Collector is requested to submit a draft notification under Section 16 of the canals and Public Ferries Act 1890, containing the rules for the working of the motor boat at the ferry.

Letter from M.R.Ry. Rao Bahadur S.N.V.Rajachar Avl.,B.A., Collector of South Kanara, Ref. No.7195-22-T1, dated the 1st

8

-3-

1st February 1923.

Board's reference No.C.4584-22-1, dated 20-11-22.

****

Herewith submitted the draft notification under section 16 of the Canals and Public Ferries Act, 1890, containing the rules for the working of the Motor boat at the Gangolli Ferry prepared by the President, District Board, South Kanara.

2. Two petitions received from the residents of Coondapoor Taluk objecting to the motor boat service at the ferry have been sent to the President, District ~~Boat,~~ Board for remarks. He says that these petitions will be considered by the Standing Committee of the District ~~Boat~~ Board at its next meeting in the middle of this month and the remarks communicated in due course. A copy of his letter is enclosed for the information of the Board.

ENCLOSURE

Copy of letter Ref. on C.No.2753/D.B. 22, dated 31st January 1923 from the President, District Board, South Kanara, to the Collector of South Kanara.

Referring to your endorsement N.Ref. on C.No. 7195-22-T1, dated 19th January 23, I have the honour to forward a draft notification (in duplicate) for the working of the Motor boat at the Gangolli ferry.

2. I request that the orders of Government in the matter may be obtained as soon as possible so that in case the present proposal is not sanctioned by Government, the Gangolli ferry for 1923-24 may be sold under the existing conditions.

3. The petitions received with your N Ref.No.7792-22-T, dated 27th December 1922 and No.348-23-T, dated 15th January 23 will be considered by the Standing Committee of the District ~~Boat~~ Board at its next meeting to be held in the middle of the next month. The remarks of the Committee will be communicated to you in due course.

Board's Reference thereon No.C.636/23-1, dated 21-2-1923.

Letter from from G.W.Wells Esquire.,I.C.S., Collector of South Kanara, L.Dis.No. 7195-22-T1, dated the 10th April 1923.

The objection petitions referred to in my letter 7195-22-T1, dated 1st February 1923, together with a copy of the Proceedings of the Mass Meeting held on 20th March 1923, at Coondapoor are submitted herewith.

2. Mr. Rajachar, who visited Coondapoor in March last, is of opinion that the objection petitions cannot be brushed aside, as he observed that on both the days he was there, the motor boat could not ply owing to want of water in the river and the public on several occasions had to have recourse to ordinary boats. He also says that the charge of one anna instead of the present rate of half an anna is strongly objected to by the general public, most of whom are poor and unable to pay double the present rate, and from their representation to him at the ferry, he learnt that they would rather pay three fourths of an anna per head.

3. The Divisional Officer (Mr. I.Green), who subsequently held enquiry into these petitions, states that the service of a motor boat will be a great improvement and benefit to the general public, who will find it more convenient to use the motor boat than to use the ordinary boats. He proposes that the contractor should be required to maintain at least two motor boats at the ferry and adds that the charge of one anna per head is not excessive. (A copy of his report is submitted for the information of the Board).

4. The ferry in question is a first class ferry under the rules framed under the Madras Canals and Public Ferries Act, II of 1890, and according to Schedule II to the notice of sale issued last year, the contractor will have to maintain eight boats of the required dimensions, inclusive of the two boats intended for the Jangar. The draft Notification prepared by the District Board for the working of the motor boat service at the ferry in

question and submitted to the Board of Revenue with this office letter referred to in para 1 does not make mention of the amount of toll to be levied on passengers conveyed by ordinary boats, although it provides for the maintenance of two ordinary boats in the case of towing, where emergency arises owing to pressure of heavy passenger traffic. In my opinion, at least half the number of boats ordinarily in use should be retained to give people the option of taking the cheaper conveyance and to obviate their being kept waiting by breakdowns to the motor boat or by the fact that it had already gone to the other side when they want to cross. At any rate the maintenance of a sufficient number of ordinary boats at the existing rates should be insisted upon in the interest of the poor who are unable to pay double the present rate, and of the cartowners, who may be desirous of taking their carts across. The draft notification already submitted will, therefore, require amendment by the District Board.

To

The Collector of South Kanara, Mangalore.

From

The Chairman of the Mass Meeting held at Coondapoor

dated

Sir,

I have the honour to forward herewith the following resolutions passed unanimously at a Mass Meeting held at Coondapoor dated 20th March 1923, and request that they may be placed before the Government for favour of acceptance.

(1) That this Mass Meeting is of opinion that the leasing of the Gangolli ferry by the District Board to Dr. N.Panduranga Rao, secretly to a far less amount than it would have fetched if sold in public auction, for a period of five years is entirely opposed to public morality and unjustifiable.

(2) That the proposed introduction of the motor boat is detrimental to the travelling public in that it cannot carry heavy luggage and that it cannot run from shore to shore owing to the shallowness of the river during lowtide.

(3) That the meeting emphatically protests against the doubling of the passenger fares.

(4) That this meeting condemns the action of the Board in thus going out of its way to enrich a private individual who happens to be the Board President's cousin at a great loss to itself and hardship and loss to the travelling public.

(5) That this meeting authorizes the Chairman to communicate the above resolutions to the District Collector and the Madras Government for favour of necessary action.

I have &c.,

Sd/

N Dis. C.C. 373/23

Resubmitted to the Collector of South Kanara.

There is no doubt that this motor boat will be a great improvement and a great benefit to the general public. It is quicker, more comfortable, more seaworthy and larger than the ordinary ferry boats. For about two hours each day this motor boat cannot cross from Coondapoor to Gangolly, on account of the low tide, but, in this respect, I believe it is in no worse case than the ordinary boats. The proprietor of the motor boat proposes to get another boat of shallower draught than the present one. He claims that this will meet the difficulty. Whether it does or not, I am quite convinced that the general public will find it more convenient to use the motor boat than to use the ordinary boats. I think that the proprietor should maintain at least two motor boats, in case one should be out of action at any time.

With regard to the increased rates, I am informed and I believe the information to be correct, that passengers at present have very often to pay 9 pies for the journey from Coondapoor to Gangolly or vice versa. The two places are a considerable distance apart and it often so happens that none of the licensed boats is available. Passengers are then taken across by a private boat-owner for a consideration of an extra

3 pies. In any case, I do not consider that a charge of 1 anna is at all excessive. The distance from bank to bank is greater than in most rivers and the advantages of the motor boat are sufficiently obvious. I attach very little weight to the plea on behalf of the poor.

I am not aware of the conditions on which it is proposed to lease the ferry to the motor boat proprietor. He informs me that he wishes to lease the ferry for five years at an annual rental of Rs. 1800. This appears to me preferable to a possible rental of Rs. 2500 this year, which the present lessee says he will pay, and a probable smaller rental in succeeding years. On the other hand if the motor service is a success, the lessee of the ferry will make a larger income than in the days of the ordinary boats.

Provided that stipulations are made that the proprietor shall transport luggage across the ferry and shall maintain a sufficient number of services, I can see no objection to this proposed motor ferry. It is an innovation and as such is bound to meet with a certain amount of opposition. I suspect that this opposition comes very largely from vested interests.

The Revenue Inspector proposes that in addition to the motor boats a certain number of ordinary boats should be maintained which would ferry passengers across at the old rate. I do not think this necessary but if it is considered that the extra charge is likely to be a hardship on the poor, the Revenue Inspector's proposal might be considered. Very many of the inhabitants of Gangolly are fishermen and poor.

Sd. R.Shiva Rao,
28-3-1923
for Revenue Divisional Officer.

*****

REFERENCE

Submitted to Government.

2. The river is a wide and shallow one and probably the motor boat would often be unable to ply. As the District Boar

has accepted the proposal, the Board considers that interference seems hardly necessary. It would however consider it desirable to insist either that two motor boats should be provided or that in case no motor boat is running or the boat is unable to ply, the contractor should be obliged to carry passengers etc. in the ordinary boats at the old rates.

3. The Board observes that in one of the resolutions passed at a Mass Meeting held at Coondapur on 20-3-23 (copy enclosed) it is alleged that the contractor is a cousin of the President District Board. If so, the Board would point out the undesirability of a relative of the President taking on an important contract under the District Board. 1/10-4 and

S. Wadsworth

S e c r e t a r y.

To the Secretary to Government,

Public Works Department (Irrigation) with enclosures.

A.S.R/25-4 bgn
25.4.23

14.9.22

Mangalore

2nd September 1922

From

N. Panduranga Rau

Surgeon Oculist, Falnir, Sturrock Road

Mangalore

To

The President,

District Board of South Kanara,

Mangalore

Sir,

I beg to invite your kind attention to the following few lines and request that you may be so good as to place the matter for the consideration of the Board and communicate to me their orders thereon.

The development of quick transport by land by means of Motor Buses and cars has proceeded at a remarkably quick-pace in this district, thereby securing to the public very great convenience and comfort in moving from place to place. It has also given an impetus to trade. The supply of similar facilities on navigable rivers in this district, has been engaging my attention for some time past and I, therefore, propose to make a begining should the Board give me the encouragement and support which I now seek.

The business is quite an unexplored one and as the work of pioneers is always a difficult one, one cannot be too cautious in making the begining. For the present therefore, I propose to confine my activities to the introduction of the motor ferry boat to ply between Coondapoor and Gangolly. This ferry service is a very promising one as the passenger traffic is havier than at other ferries.

The existing ferry service by ordinary boats takes over 45 minutes and is not quite regular and is most uncomfortable during rains; this motor boat service will however take the passengers in about 10 minutes from one end to the other. Business men in Gangolli and Coondapoor will specially appreciate the enormous saving in time effected by this motor boat service, while some other classes of passengers will be materially benefitted thereby. The comfort, convenience, punctuality and certainty characteristic of the motor boat service will certainly make it popular and few people

people will grudge to pay a small extra charge for all the benefits derived by the service.

The service will be conducted as economically as possible but as the initial investment is considerable, it is quite probable that the service may not pay its way for a year or two. It is therefore necessary that I should be given the lease of the ferry not for one year as is done now but for a sufficiently long period- not less than seven years.

The usual charges of six pies per head now demanded, I propose to increase to one anna as is done by the Godavary District Board. A letter received by me from the proprietors of motor boat service of that place is enclosed for reference.

The boat under contemplation will be sufficiently large the proposed dimensions being 26 ft X 6'6" X 3 ft with a draught of 18 ~~miles~~ inches and will accomodate 20 passengers giving at the same time, protection against sun and rain. The spped will be about 10 miles per hour.

The [illegible] of ferries for a long period has been done in similar cases by other District Boards, one such instance being of the Godavary District Board of late (vide the 'Hindu' of the 25th July 1922 under Cocanada Notes.)

In order to encourage local enterprise and in the interest of the public the District Board may grant me the concessions now asked for.

As for the annual rental, it may be fixed at the average for the last 5 years or any other basis agreed upon between us.

I need hardly state that I shall bind myself to conform to all the ferry regulations and rules now in force or hereinafter to be brought into force. I am prepared to enter into a bond for the due performance of my contract and observance of the conditions of the lease.

I beg etc.
(Sd) N. Pandurangа Rao

(True Copy)

P r e s i d e n t

District Board

South Kanara.

EXTRACT FROM THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE DISTRICT BOARD OF SOUTH KANARA. dated the 30th September 1922

110 H

--------oooo0oooo--------

P R E S E N T

M. R. Ry. Rao Bahadur N. Subba Rau Avl., President.

" Rao Bahadur S. Raghunathaya Avl., B. A., B. L., President, Taluk Board, Mangalore.

". H. Ganapathy Rau Avl., President, Taluk Board, Uppinangady

" K. Sadashiva Bhat Avl., President, Taluk Board, Karkal.

" M. V. Bhakta Avl., President, Taluk Board, Kasaragod

Khan Bahadur Hajee Abdulla Hajee Kasim Saheb Bahadur, President, Taluk Board, Udipi.

M. R. Ry. B. Sreenivas Rau Avl.,

" Dr. U. Ramachandra Rau Avl.,

" K. Shivappa Hegde Avl.,

" K. Dugganna Bontra Avl.,

" G. Venugopal Rau Avl.,

" A. Somappa Avl.,

" V. Rajah Laxminath Swamiji Arsu Avl.,

" T. C. Rama Varma Rajah Avl.,

" B. Mahaballa Holla Avl.,

" M. Vittal Kamath Avl.,

" K. Sreenivas Hegde Avl.,

" K. Raghuchandra Ballal Avl.,

" A. B. Shetty Avl.,

" K. Muthaya Shetty Avl.,

" M. Ramakrishna Punja Avl.,

" M. Shiva Rau Avl.,

" Rai Saheb E. C. M. Mascarenhas Avl.,

" P. Raghunath Shetty Avl.,

" K. Venkat Rau Avl.,

" K. Narasinga Nayak

" K. Angara Avl.,

Andar Hajee Sayid Hussain Saheb Bahadur

Faroki Muhammad Ali Saheb Bahadur.

-------@0o-------

x x x x x

59. Read letter dated 2nd September 1922 from M. R. Ry. N. Pandurá-nga Rau Avl., Surgeon Oculist, Mangalore, suggesting the introduction of

of a Motor Boat for ferry service at the Gangolly Ferry and requesting that the lease of the ferry for a period of not less than seven years may be given to him on certain conditions.

Read also standing committee's recommendation that the lease at Rs. 1800 per year may be granted to M. R. Ry. N. Panduranga Rau for 5 years.

Resolution:- Sanctioned at Rs. 1800 for 5 years for the official year 1922-23 onwards.

x x x x x ?

(T R U E E X T R A C T)

P r e s i d e n t

District Board

South Kanara.

NOTIFICATION

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 of the Madras Canals and Public Ferries act II of 1890 and in modification of the schedule of rates published on page 68 of part I of the Fort St. George Gazette dated 22nd January ~~1922~~ 1908, the Government in the Ministry of Public Works are pleased to fix the following rate of ~~xxx~~ toll on passengers conveyed by motor boats at the Gangolly ferry with effect from 1st April 1923.

For a man, woman or child over six years. Rs. 0 - 1 - 0

Mangalore
31st October 1922.

President
District Board
South Kanara.

19

NOTIFICATION

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 of the Madras Canals and Public Ferries act II of 1890 and in modification of the schedule of rates published on page 68 of part I of the Fort St. George Gazette dated 22nd January 1918 the Government in the Ministry of Public Works are pleased to fix the following rate of toll on passengers conveyed by motor boats at the Gangolly ferry with effect from 1st April 1923.

For a man, woman or child over six years. Rs. 0 - 1 - 0

Mangalore
31st October 1922.

President
District Board
South Kanara.

~~15~~ H 15 20

Notification.

In exercise of the power conferred by Section 16 of the Madras Canals and Public Ferries Act II of 1890, the -- Government in the Ministry of Public Works are pleased to make the following rules: for the working of the motor boat at the Gangolly ferry.

Where motor launch service is proposed to be intro -duced in place of the ordinary country boats, the renter -- shall provide himself with his own motor boat or boats and two or three ordinary country boats for the purpose of ---- towing when needed. He shall ply his motor boat or boats -- between certain fixed points which shall be specified in the sale notification and shall not take over or land passengers except at those points.

2. The renter shall make sufficient number of trips to and fro (Coondapoor to Gangolly) for the purpose of conveying passengers, cargo, mails and animals without any unnecessary delay whether by day or by night. If the renter fails to transport across the ferry any mails, passengers, animals or goods awaiting transport, without an amount of delay which in the opinion of the President, District Board is unreasonable -- the President, District Board shall have the right to supple- ment the ferry accommodation in such manner, to such an extent and for such period as he thinks fit. In such event the renter shall not be entitled to any compensation.

3. Measurement of the launch, H.P. of Engine speed and -- accommodation.

a) The motor launch should be at least 18 feet long and 6 ft wide in the centre.

b) Horsepower of the engine should not be less than 7 to 9 H.P horse-power.

c) Speed should not exceed 7 miles per hour during day and 5 miles per hour by night i.e. after sunset.

d) The space within the boat should be ample enough to pro- vide seating accommodation for 15 passengers during each trip

SOUTH KANARA COLLECTOR'S OFFICE
No. 70
15 JAN 1923

26.

24
22

The Collector of
South Kanara.

Humble petition of the undersigned -- residents of the Coondapoor taluk, dated the -- 9th January 1923.

E1

Sir,

With reference to the South Kanara District Board's --- resolution dated 30-9-22 leasing out the Gangolly ferry to one Dr. N. Panduranga Rao for a period of 5 years with effect from 1-4-23 on an annual rental of Rs.1800 ~~a year~~ on condition of -- keeping a motor boat, we submit the following for kind considera--tion and favourable orders.

2. With a fees of half an anna per passenger this ferry is now fetching not less than Rs.1800 a year. When this is a fact why should the fees be doubled and get exactly an equal -- amount to the Board every year for the next five years? Why should the poor traveller be penalised when the Board's income is not doubled as is now done in the case of the fees tobe --- collected from the poor traveller. For whose benefit was the usual procedure of auctioning the lease every year dispensed -- with and the the ferry leased out for a long term of 5 years on a - very low rental?.

3. The Board was ill-advised in sanctioning the lease for five years at a very low rental and raising the fees to double the ordinary rates when this ferry is likely to fetch more than Rs.2000 a year, if auctioned, with the present rates in force.

4. The Board was not justified in levying a penalty on the poor passengers when the said Board ~~xxx~~ abanded this scheme on a previous occasion as one bound to end in total loss for -- want of sufficient water in the river all the year round.

5. The Board was wrong in secretly granting the l

without making the proposal known to the public of this taluk who are interested in the scheme more than any body else and also without consulting either the Tahsildar or the Divisional Officer of Coondapoor who know the real state of the river.

6. The introduction of a motor boat in this ferry will inconvenience the travelling public for more reasons than one, than ordinary boats.

7. For the above among other reasons, we do not want the introduction of a motor boat in this ferry and therefore request that the Board may be kindly directed to reconsider its resolution already passed and to order the sale of this ferry along with other ferries on the usual conditions.

For which act of kindness and justice the petitioners ever remain grateful.

We beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servants,

(1) K. S. Narayan Puthu Bai
(2)
(3) K. Annappa
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7)
(8) K. Govinda Shanbhogue
(9)
(10)
(11) Ikhader Sheriff
(12) K. Ramanatha Krishnaraya Senai Ganapathi
(13)
(14)
(15)
(16)
(17)
(18)
(19)
(20)
(21)
(22)
(23)
(24)
(25)
(26)
(27)
(28)
(29)
(30) K. Manjunatha
(31)
(32)
(33)
(34)
(35) K. Kasim Saheb

(38) Liquor Advocate

(39)

(40)

(41)

(42)

(43)

(44)

(45)

(46) Sh Thillai moorthy

(47)

(48)

(49) M. Madappa Nadaneha

(50)

(51) K. Shivamurthy Retail Coordinator

(52)

(53)

(54)

(55)

(56)

57)

(58)

(59)

(60) Liquor Rebellion

61)

(62)

(63)

(64) [illegible]

(66) [illegible]

(68) [illegible]

(70) [illegible]

72) Khaji mohammad [illegible] Vadiahobali Coondapoor

73) K. Shabeesaheb [illegible] Govt. Boarding High School

[illegible] approx. S. Kundapura.

74) [illegible]

(75) [illegible]

(76) H. Mahamed Akbar saheb

(77) G Shrinivas Shetty

(78) Keshi varama

(79) [illegible]

(80) [illegible]

(81) K. Ramakrishna Nath

(82) [illegible]

(83) U. Bhima

(84) K.H Mahammad saheb

(85) [illegible]

(86) K. Abdul Karim Saheb Coondapoor.

(87) [illegible]

(88) U. Ramakrishna Maiya

(89) V. Ananthaya Hebbar.

(90) Ananda Rao Hosakote.

(91) [illegible]

92 [illegible]

93 [illegible]

94 A. E. Silva

26 Vide ~~(Ref no 7748-23-24 dated)~~

Forwarded to the President Motor Boat, S. Kanara for favour of very early remarks in continuation of their office Ref No 7782-22-24 dated 23.12.22.

2. The draft certificate containing the rules for the working of the motor boat ~~boat be sent~~ called for in their office Ref No 7785-22-24 dated 24.11.22 may be sent without further delay.

Collector

Yes
27.1.23

W
15.1.23

US 23

Standing Committee meeting of the President dt. read

2 M

S. CANARA COLLR'S OFFICE
No
21 DEC 1922

34 — 27

To

The Local Self Ministry,

Government of Madras,

M a d r a

Through

The Collector of

South Kanara.

Humble petition of Gulam Moidin Saheb, Contractor of the Gangolly ferry, Coondapoor Taluk, dated the 16th December 1922.

Forwarded to the President, District Board, S. Canara, for favour of remarks.

Respectfully sheweth:-

With reference to the resolution of the South Kanara -- District Board dated 30-9-22 leasing out the Gangolly ferry -- to one Dr. N. Panduranga Rao for a period of 5 years from -- 1-4-1923 at Rs.1800 per annum on condition of keeping a motor boat and raising the fees to one anna per passenger, the petitioner begs to submit the following for kind consideration and favourable orders.

for Collector.

22-12-22

2. The major portion of the Gangolly river dries up -- during low tide and consequantly neither a motor boat nor an -- ordinary small one can go to Coondapoor. The passengers -- have then to walk in the river to a distance of about 2 or 3 -- furlongs. The Tahsildar, the Sub-Collector, the Taluk Board President and all the District and Taluk Board members of this taluk personally know this.

3. The capacity of the intended motor boat being very -- limited, ordinary boats are indispensable in ferrying over the passengers, their cycles, lyggage, goods and vehicles.

4. The Board was not justified in incurring a big loss by leasing out the ferry at Rs.1800 per year when the said - ferry will fetch not less than Rs.2500 a year if sold in public

25 — 32 — 26 — 28

auction on the conditions now in force. The petitioner himself is prepared to take up the lease at Rs.2500 a year for --- 5 years.

5. The Board was illadvised in sanctioning the 5 years' lease at so low an amount without the usual auction sale or -- calling for tenders and thereby lose so big an income every -- year especially when the Board is now straining its every ----- nerve to increase its revenue to make its deficit budget balance

6. The 5 years' lease now sanctioned by the Board was -- not in its interests, because its annual income is lessoned --- and not increased thereby.

7. If a motor boat were to be introduced in this ferry the majority of the travelling public will have to wait for -- about half an hour because the boat is to run between the ---- shores only at stated intervals of not less than half an hour. Persons reaching the ferry in the interval will have to wait -- till the next boat leaves. Now the passengers are ferried -- over in ordinary boats with no delay whatever. Ordinary -- small boats take less than 20 minutes to cross the ferry, and as such they are preferable to a motor boat to the travelling -- public.

8. The proposed doubling of the fees is an additional burden on the travelling public with no advantage either to -- them or to the Board whatever because the Board gets a much -- lower amount than it would have got if the ferry were to be -- auctioned now as hitherto.

9. In conclusion the petitioner prays that the Government may be pleased (1) not to increase the fees and (2) to set --- aside the Board's resolution dated 30-9-22 and (3) to order the sale of the ferry as usual or to give it to the petitioner on -- the existing conditions for 5 years at Rs.2500 per annum, i.e., at a profit of Rs.700 a year ~~x~~ to the Board. The facts stated in this petition may be kindly verified by a reference to the Tahsildar or the Divisional Officer, Coondapoor.

Petitioner

N. 302/23-C-2 d/12.6.23

From the Board of Rev, Land Rev & Sett,
No C 636/23-2 dated 23-4-23.

Ferries---South Kanara---Coondapur taluk---Gangolly ferry---Motor boat service.

O. M.

It is observed that, in para 4 of his letter, L.Dis No 7195/22-E-1 d/ 10-4-23, the Collector of South Kanara has made the suggestion that, at any rate, the maintenance of a sufficient number of ordinary boats at the existing rates should be insisted upon in the interests of the poor who are unable to pay double the present rate, and of the cart-owners who may be desirous of taking their carts across. It is not clear whether the Board has any objection to this suggestion, though the proposal in para 2 of the Board's Reference is in conformity with the rules applicable to the steam ferries at Rajahmundry and Dowlaishwaram and those suggested to the Board for incorporation in the draft rules for the working of the new steam ferry at Kotipalli.

2. The remarks in para 3 of the Board's Reference are concurred in; but, before orders on the proposed opening of the new motor boat service are issued, it should be ascertained whether the Dt Board can find another suitable contractor to undertake the

working of the ferry, and if not what it would propose to do in the matter.

3. The Board of Revenue is accordingly requested to consult the President, Dt Board, and submit a further report on the subject, with a revised draft notification embodying the rules to be laid down under Section 16 of the Canals & Public Ferries Act.

28.5.23

23.5.23

23.5.23

D. I.

To the Board of Rev, L.R&Sett.,
with one draft notifn.
pp 22-23 cf

DESPATCHED
Date 13.6.23
Enclos 1 Draft Notifn in 2 Sheets

12-21. 31

Public Works **DEPARTMENT.** (Irrigation) G.F.—20.

CURRENT No.

Received ..... 19 *Registered* 19

IRRIGATION. 28 AUG 1923 No. 9927

Subject.

Ferries-(Kanara South)- Coondapur taluk- Gangolly ferry- Motor boat service.

ENCLOSURES.
No. .....
.....
Spare copies .....

Refce. No. C 636-23-5

Station Madras, *Dated the* 21st August 1923 19

From

S. Wadsworth Esq., I. C. S.,
Secretary.
Land Revenue. and
The Board of Revenue, ~~Revenue~~ Settlement, ~~etc.~~
~~Separate Revenue~~.

~~Resolution~~
Reference.

J. M. Turing Esq, I.C.S.,
Commr.of Land Revenue.--

Read the following papers+-

I

Official memorandum from Government, Public Works (Irrigation) Department, No. 302-23-C-2 dated 12th June 1923.

II

Board's Reference No. C 636-23-3 dated 25th June 1923.

III

Letter from G.W. Wells Esq., M.A., I.C.S, Collector of South Kanara, L. Dis. C. No. 4015-23-E-1, dated 13th August 1923:-

Reference- Board's reference No. C 636-23-3 dated 25th June 1923.

A copy of the letter Ref. C. No.2753/D.B. 22 dated 5th August 1923 from the President, District Board is enclosed. The President has disposed of the allegation of relationship between himself and the contractor and I do not consider that the former is a person - likely to be influenced by such circumstances in public matters.

I had occasion to see the present motor boat in May and I crossed the river in it in July during flood time when it was efficiently handled. It is also a fact that the energy of the proprietor resulted in the saving of a good many lives by means of this motor boat in the July floods.

There is no doubt of the great utility of the motor boat service and the contractor is getting a second and lighter boat to obviate interruption of service, when the river becomes shallow.

The President's proposals may be approved.

--

Copy of letter Ref. C. No.2753/D.B. 22 dated 5th August 1923, from M.R.Ry. Rao Bahadur N. Subba Rao Avargal, President, District Board, South Kanara to the Collector of South Kanara.-

-

Reference- Your endorsement Ref. C. No.4015/22 E dated 3-7-23.

Referring to your endorsement quoted above, I have the honour to state as follows:-

While I am glad that Government are in favour of sanctioning a motor boat service for the Gangolly ferry I see that they have requested the Board of Revenue to consult the President, District Board as regards the selection of another suitable contractor as it is alleged in one of the resolutions passed at a mass meeting that the contractor already selected by the District Board is a cousin of the President. The allegation contained in the resolution is far from the truth. The common surname 'Naimpalli' only shows that both the families, some remote generations past, lived in the same village (Naimpally) without being members of the same family. Both the contractor and myself are not cousins in any sense nor can there be any relationship worth the name between us, we being members of different gotras, the contractor's gotra is "Kaundinya" and my gotra

is

is "Bharadwaj". The allegation about relationship was apparently made to prejudice the authorities and cast a reflection on my reputation as President of the District Board. The District Board which is composed of members of different communities were unanimous about giving the lease to the said contractor.

2. I may bring to your notice that a motor boat service will be a great convenience to the public. I may also bring to your notice that the motor boat was very useful in saving the life of men and cattle during the late floods, a fact which is known to you. I understand that Mr. Green, I.C.S. the present Sub Collector is in favour of the motor boat service.

3. The contractor has already purchased a new flat bottomed motor boat in Cochin for being used at the ferry during summer when the river gets shallow. This boat will be brought to Gangolly in September 1923 when communication by sea reopens. He will be required to maintain two motor boats and four ordinary boats so that the public may not suffer inconvenience if there should be a break down of motor boat service. The contractor has also invested a large sum of money on the enterprise. The opposition to the motor boat service is in my opinion negligible. I therefore request that Government may be moved to reconsider the matter and approve of the resolution of the District Board.

4. I shall submit a revised draft notification (in duplicate) embodying the recommendation of the Board of Revenue regarding the provision of two motor boats etc. as soon as orders by Government are passed on this letter. I therefore request that orders of Government may be obtained and communicated to me at an early date whether in the circumstances stated above, the lease of the motor boat service at the ferry may be given to the contractor selected by the District Board.

Reference-

Reference:

Submitted to Government.

2. With reference to para. 1 of the memorandum read above, the Board submits that it did not approve the Collector's suggestion to insist on the maintenance of a sufficient number of ordinary boats at the existing rates in the interests of the poor. If the ordinary boats were allowed to ply at the old rates side by side with the motor boat, a large number of passengers would resort to the cheaper service and the motor boat service would not pay. Hence the Board suggested that the contractor should be obliged to provide ordinary boats to ply at the old rates only when no motor boat is running or when it is unable to ply.

3. A revised draft notification will be submitted to Government after the receipt of orders on the proposal to introduce motor boat service-Vide para. 4 of the District Board President's letter, copy enclosed.

/ Secretary.

S e c r e t a r y.

To the Secretary to Government, Public Works Deptt. (Irrigation)

M.D. 22-8

MKS
22-8-23.

C.M.S. 302 552/23.C) Tenn. Vengolly ferry. Coonduperi R. South Kanara Dt: Introduction of motor service.

Rpp 1-25-C/ From ... No. 636/3-2

Under Secy

S. The Board's recommendations may be accepted. Objections were also received against the introduction of Steamer ferry services across the Godavari, but they were over-ruled. The rules for the working of the motor ferry do not seem to call for any remarks except that they should stipulate that the vessels should maintain two motor boats etc vide Board's observation in para 2 of their reference. The Dist Board may be asked to revise them as indicated by the Board. The observation in para 3 may also be considered in

2. A copy of the resolutions of the mass meeting referred to in para 3 of Bd's reference was already received and was transferred to here for disposal with P.W.D. Secs No. 526/23-C-1/16.4.23. Before drafting orders on the case, the file may be sent to L&S for remarks.

Govt 9880-1 Rev 23.4.23 (F)

SECRETARY TO GOVT. LOCAL SELF GOVT. DEPARTMENT + ASST. SECRETARY 8 MAY 1923 1466

Madras 8/5

No. 552C/23 7.5.23

TOG 6523 7.5.23

L&S 7.5.23

To L&S for remarks 7/5/23

Its

Revenue Board's views may be concurred
with. The case may be returned n.o. to P.W.D. (I) for the
issue of orders.

Lee 16.5.23.

P.W.D (I)

P.W.D (Irrig.) L.S.G. (Ins) No. 11466-166
16.5.23

89
5. The rules for the working of the steam ferries at Rajahmundry and Bowcarnvaram provide for the plying of ordinary boats at ordinary rates when the steamers do not ply. Vide Rules 10 and 11 in the Notification at page 36 of Part II of Vol II of the Local Rules & Orders (1912 Edn), and the foot note to the notification at p 6 of G.O. no 36 Dy 2.2.22. A similar provision has been suggested to the Board of Rev for adoption in the case of the Kotipalli steam ferry, draft rules for which are yet awaited. These rules, however, do not contain the provision suggested by the Collr in the present case in para 4 of his letter at p10 cf, viz that a sufficient number of boats at the existing rates should be insisted on in the interests of poor people and cart-owners. The proposal in para 2 of the Board's Reference is in conformity with the rules in force at the above mentioned ferries, but the Board fail to explain why the Collr's suggestion has not been given effect to. It is for orders if it is necessary to address the Board of Rev under special reference to the Collr's suggestion. Ack of the Board on the lines of the draft above and speed to be requested for approval urgently.

22.5.23

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT
17 MAY 1923
No 64-G/23

condition 6 in the Notification no 397 at p 977 of Part I of the F.S.G. Gazette of 11.9.06,

'D'

(L F Circ 303/23C).

b13 cf

CM 302 C 552/23-C) Jgmrs. Gangolly ferry. Coonduperi R. South Kanara Dr: introduction of motor service.

pp 1-25 Cf Jgmrs. 9 Nov. C. No. 636/3-2

Under Secy —

pp 7-8 Cf S. The Board's recommendations may be accepted. Objections were also received against the introduction of Steamer ferry services across the Godavari, but they were over-ruled. The rules for the working of pp 15-16 Cf the Motor ferry do not seem to call for any remarks except that they should stipulate that the ferry boats etc vide Board's observation in para 2 of its reference. The Dist Board p 16 Cf may be asked to revise them as indicated by the Board. The observation in para 3 may also be considered in

dw — Jgmrs 2. A copy of the resolutions of the mass meeting referred to in para 3 of Bd's reference was already received and was transferred to L&S Dept for disposal with PWD Secy No. 526/23-C-1/16.4.23. Before drafting orders on this case, the file may be sent to L&S Dept for view.

Encl 9880-1 Jgmrs 23.4.23 (F)

SECRETARY TO GOVT. LOCAL SELF GOVT. DEPARTMENT ASST. 3 MAY 1923 1466 Madras

875

No. 552C/23 7.5.23 Sec

TOTS 6.5.23

7.5.23 To L&S Dept for view 7.5.23

Hb 488 C1

Revenue Boards views may be concurred in. The case may be returned u.o. to P. W.D. (I) for the issue of orders.

Lee 16.5.23.

P.W.D (I)

P.W.D (Irrig.) L.S. G. (no) No. 1146 6-16
16-5-23

The rules for the working of the steam ferries at Rajahmundry and Dowlaishwaram provide for the plying of ordinary boats at ordinary rates when the steamers do not ply. Vide Rules 10 and 11 in the Notification at page 36 of Part II of Vol II of the Local Rules & Orders (1912 Edn), condition 6 in the Notification No 397 at p 977 of Part I of the F.S.G. Gazette dt 11.9.06, and the foot note to the notification at p 6 of G.O. no 36 Dy 2.2.22. A similar provision has been suggested to the Board of Rev for adoption in the case of the Kolipalli steam ferry, draft rules for which are yet awaited. These rules, however, do not contain the provision suggested by the Collr in the present case in para 4 of his letter at p 10 C.f. viz that a sufficient number of boats at the existing rates should be inserted on in the interests of poor people and cart-owners. The proposal in para 2 of the Board's Reference is in conformity with the rules in force at the above mentioned ferries, but the Board has not explained why the Collr's suggestion has not been given effect to. It is for orders if it is necessary to address the Board of Rev under special reference to the Collr's suggestion.

22.5.23

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT 17 MAY 1923 No 64-G/23

⊗ ⊗ not put up.

'D'

(L F Circ 303/23 C).

p 13 C.f.

2. While reference to the Board's remarks in para 3 of its Reference, it seems necessary to ascertain, before orders approving the proposal are issued whether the Bd. Board can arrange to find another contractor to undertake the working of the proposal motor farm, and whether it would be willing to do so.

3. A draft memo to the Board of Revenue is submitted, which, if approved, may be sent to the L.S.G. dept for acceptance before issue.

P.W. 25.5.23

P.W.D. IRRIGATION. U. O. No. 302C/23. Dated 28.5.1923.

28/5/23

To L.S.G. for acceptance

28/5/23

L.S.G. Dept.

Subj that the draft memo may be accepted in this dept and the file returned to P.W.D. I. no.

Accepted

1.6.23.

L.S.G.

No 13171-1 L&M

5.6.23

CHIEF ENGINEER FOR IRRIGATION. 1st JUN 1923 674C

11.6.23

The O.M. may issue.

11.6.23

Copy of O.M. & the Board's Res issued as no 302/23C-2 dt 12-6-23

Page No.

O.M. 6 the Board of Rev (Admn S) issued as no 302/23e-2 9/12-6-23.
From the Board of Rev no C636-23-3 9 21-8-23

Secy

In the circumstances explained by the Board the opening of steam boat service across the Gangolly ferry in Coondapur Taluk may be approved. The selection of the contractor may also be approved. Notifications under Sec 16 in regard to the rules for the working of steam boats and under Sect 10 in regard to the tolls leviable may be awaited. A draft order is submitted which if approved, may be sent to L.S.G. for acceptance before issue

5.9.23

4.9.23.

T/L S/G for acceptance

Irrigation cio no 302/23e-3 6-9-23

L.S.G. Deptt.

Subd that the draft order may be accepted in this Deptt and the file returned to P.W. D(I) no.

8.9.23.

15.9.23

Secy

L.S.G.

cio 2/290-1 Lemy

15.9.23

Irrign

Issue

Secy

Irrigation DEPARTMENT.

Ferries - South Kanara - Coondapur Taluk - Gangolly ferry - motor boat Service - proposals approved.

READ AGAIN G.O. No. ....., dated ..... 19 .

" " " " ....., " ..... 19 .

READ ALSO:—

C. No. ~~302~~/23 From the Board of Rev (I&S) Ref, No. C 636/23-1, dated 21-2-1923.

" " 552/23, " " do . . . . . , " C 636/23-2 " 23-4 1923.

" " 992/23, From the To the . . . . . no. 302/23 C-2 dy 12-6-1923

" " " " . . . . . , " 636/23-5 " dy 21-8 1923.

ORDER.

No. 1561 dated 19-9-1923.

This

The proposal of the Dt Board, South Kanara, to run a steam boat Service across the Gangolly ferry in Coondapur taluk, is approved.

2. In the circumstances explained by the President of the Dt Board the government see no objection to the leasing of the ferry to the Contractor already selected by the Dt Board.

3. Draft notifications under Sections 10 and 16 of the Canals and Public ferries Act 1890, in regard to the tolls leviable upon passengers animals, vehicles and goods & the rules for the working of the stea

of the steam boats should be submitted through the Board of Revenue for the approval of Government

5-9-23

Draft
B.O.G.M

To
The Board of Revenue (R+SH)
The President & Board Southern
The S.E. Coimbatore Circle
Copy to The L.S.G. Dept.
" Law (Legn) Dept

5.9.23

DISPATCHED
7.9.23